	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	
3	X
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 17-CR-00177(ENV) :
5	
6	-against- : United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York
7	: : Thursday Navanhan 7 2040
8	: Thursday, November 7, 2019 BLAISE CAROLEO, : 2:30 p.m.
9	Defendant. :
10	X
11	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC N. VITALIANO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	
15	For the Government: RICHARD P. DONOGHUE United States Attorney Eastern District of New York
16	271 Cadman Plaza East
17	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY:ERIN ARGO, ESQ. ALICIA WASHINGTON, ESQ.
18	Assistant United States Attorney
19	For the Defendant: MARION A. SELTZER 1725 York Avenue
20	New York, New York 10128
21	BY:MARION A. SELTZER, ESQ. BY:SHELBY SULLIVAN-BENNIS, ESQ.
22	Court Paparton: Michala D. Lucchasa PDP CPP
23	Court Reporter: Michele D. Lucchese, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter
24	E-mail: MLuccheseENDY@gmail.com
25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.

MDL RPR CRR CSR

2 Proceedings THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now open. 1 2 The Honorable Eric N. Vitaliano presiding. Case on the calendar is USA versus Caroleo, case number 17-CR-167, on for 3 4 a pretrial conference. 5 Will the attorneys please note their appearance beginning with Government counsel. 6 7 MS. ARGO: Good morning, Your Honor. Erin Argo and 8 Alicia Washington for the United States. 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 MS. SELTZER: Good afternoon, Judge, my client is 11 present. 12 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Note your appearances. 13 MS. SELTZER: Marion Seltzer for the defendant 14 Blaise Caroleo. 15 SULLIVAN-BENNIS: And Shelby Sullivan-Bennis. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon as well, and to Mr. 17 Caroleo. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Counsel for both sides are 18 present, and defendant. 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you all. We are here 20 21 for the final pretrial conference before trial. 22 Government has filed in limines. So, Ms. Argo, I will let you 23 set the agenda. And, Ms. Seltzer, if you have things to add 24 to that agenda when the Government has finished, we will turn 25 to that and take care of anything else that needs to be taken

3 Proceedings 1 care of. 2 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. ARGO: 3 THE COURT: You can pick your order. 4 MS. ARGO: Thank you, Your Honor. My colleague, Ms. Washington, may sort of interject, if that is all right. 5 6 THE COURT: That is fine. That is fine on both 7 sides. 8 MS. ARGO: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 With respect to the messages contained within the 10 extraction reports that the Government intends to be in 11 evidence in this trial, they do contain some uncharged conduct 12 and in addition to that, there are also text messages between 13 the actual victims and the defendant. In relation to those 14 conversations, clearly the defendant's own statements are 15 admissions and they are non-hearsay under 801(d)(2) and with 16 respect to the responses --17 THE COURT: Let's do this in two parts. Let's 18 assume for purposes of this part of the motion that everything 19 you just said is gospel and we are ready to go. Where are we 20 go with the size? There are thousands of these things. What 21 does the Government think its game plan is with respect to the 22 exhibits that they want to use at trial? 23 MS. ARGO: So with respect to the exhibits that the Government is going to use at trial --24 25 THE COURT: You propose to use.

4 Proceedings 1 MS. ARGO: That we propose to use, yes, Your Honor. 2 -- there are several excerpts from the actual larger phone reports that we have, in fact, already prepared as sort of 3 4 little excerpts from that same exhibit so that it kind of --5 taken into smaller pieces with respect to this uncharged 6 conduct. 7 THE COURT: Some of the smaller pieces that I was 8 reviewing with Mr. Herman went on for pages and pages and 9 pages. 10 MS. ARGO: Your Honor --11 THE COURT: Over days, weeks, and months. 12 Yes, Your Honor. These conversations, MS. ARGO: 13 the Government does not intend for those to be read allowed in 14 their entirety. In some instances, the Government has 15 presented only just a one-page statement. And with respect to 16 the ones that are 10 pages long, in no shape or form does the 17 Government intend to read those allowed from beginning to end. 18 There are certain portions within those sub-exhibits that the 19 Government would seek to simply read back and forth briefly to 20 the jury. 21 THE COURT: So I got the impression that some of 22 those impressions were a lot longer than ten pages. 23 have a misimpression? 24 MS. ARGO: The full reports, Your Honor, the full 25 extraction reports are voluminous.

	Proceedings 5
1	THE COURT: I know the full reports go on forever
2	and ever. This only goes on for a year and a day.
3	MS. ARGO: So with respect to the other sub-exhibits
4	that we have pulled, they are actually about 10 pages. I
5	don't believe, as I'm thinking about this right this minute,
6	that there are any in excess of 10 pages from those. Perhaps
7	they might be one that is 15. I have them here as well.
8	THE COURT: I think they are in the 400?
9	MS. ARGO: There are two chats, Your Honor, one with
10	a third-party.
11	THE COURT: Correct.
12	MS. ARGO: Which is actually
13	THE COURT: That's the one that I was thinking
14	about.
15	MS. ARGO: So, yes, there is one conversation in
16	which the defendant engages in the trading of child
17	pornography. That conversation does go on for some bit.
18	Again, we are not intending to read the entire conversation.
19	There are certain portions
20	THE COURT: We will cut to the chase. You are going
21	to chop it down.
22	MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: It is not going to the jury for pages
24	and pages and things that start in October and end in January.
25	MS. ARGO: Okay.

6 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: It's cumulative and it's not happening. 2 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: So you can start chopping it down and 4 submit a copy to Ms. Seltzer and we will hear from -- again, 5 that was not to prejudge, Ms. Seltzer, your argument that none of it is admissible, but I wanted to get to the size first, 6 7 because in reading them yesterday with Mr. Herman, and it is 8 highly repetitive. 9 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor, we can certainly cut 10 those down and actually provide additional sub-exhibits from 11 the report. 12 They are not going to be read at trial THE COURT: 13 and they are not going into the jury room. 14 Understood, Your Honor. MS. ARGO: THE COURT: Okay. 15 16 MS. SELTZER: May I be heard, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Let's turn to you, Ms. Seltzer, your 18 position, I assume is that none of it should go in at all. 19 MS. SELTZER: Well, I think there are two issues 20 here. As I say, I received some documents yesterday on a disc 21 and I received two lengthy sets of material today. Yesterday I was reviewing 3500, so I have not gotten to whatever it is 22 23 that is --24 THE COURT: We got to the disc. That is how we 25 know.

7 Proceedings MS. SELTZER: So I think there are two issues: 1 2 first is statements by others, not Mr. Caroleo, which are 3 First of all, they are hearsay. Second, there's no 4 proof that I am aware of that the others are necessarily 5 minors. THE COURT: The ones we are just simply talking now, 6 7 there is no representation that they are. This relates to, I 8 assume, the receipt and distribution counts. 9 MS. ARGO: So there are, in fact, conversations that 10 take place with adults and the only conversations that take place with adults the Government seeks to introduce are any 11 12 instance where either the defendant is, in fact, trading child 13 pornography or he is boosting about the fact that he is 14 receiving child pornography from minors. Other than that, the Government is actually saying these conversations did, in 15 16 fact, take place with minors, the remainder of those 17 conversations, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Those are the third-party ones -- I am 19 thinking of the trading one. MS. ARGO: Yes. Your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: There is no representation that that was 22 with a minor, is there? 23 MS. ARGO: No, Your Honor. Those we believe to be 24 with an adult, two adults. 25 MS. WASHINGTON: Right. Just so the record is

8 Proceedings 1 clear, those two chats are -- the two chats in which the 2 defendant is trading child pornography with other adults, that is 402-K. That's an chat with an individual named Ben Brink. 3 4 And then there is 402-L and that chat is actually charged conduct. That is the actual parts of Counts One, Two and 5 6 Three. 7 THE COURT: And refresh me again, those are the 8 receipt and distribution counts? 9 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 10 MS. WASHINGTON: Correct. And then there is one chat that is Government 11 12 Exhibit 402-N, and that is text messages in which the 13 defendant is bragging about receipt of child pornography. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, to be honest, I have not 16 seen 402-N. I have read some reports about it, but I have not 17 had the opportunity yet to examine these exhibits. So to some 18 extent, I am at a lost. They were provided to me yesterday. 19 MS. ARGO: Your Honor, just to be clear, as far as 20 where these exhibits are coming from, they are coming from 21 documents that have been in Ms. Seltzer's possession for quite 22 some time and they are searchable. So if there are any words 23 or particular names or anything, a keyword that Ms. Seltzer 24 would like to search within those documents that we provided,

they are completely searchable.

9 Proceedings MS. SELTZER: Now that I know the name Tolendini in 1 2 the 48,000 pages. 3 THE COURT: Either that, she would have need a Ouija 4 board. 5 MS. SELTZER: As I say, I know what the report -the 3500 says about Mr. Tolendini. From what I know, I do not 6 7 believe the Government has met a threshold showing that 8 support its argument by reading the message, which I only have 9 the 3500 material from the agent or from whatever -- from Mr. 10 Tolendini. I haven't actually seen the message. 11 MS. ARGO: Your Honor --12 MS. SELTZER: If he doesn't testify, I suggest it's 13 hearsay. 14 MS. ARGO: May I respond to that, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes, please. 16 MS. ARGO: With respect to any statements from 17 third-parties in relation to a text message or a chat 18 conversation with the defendant, as previously stated, 19 801(d)(2) makes his statements, the defendant's statements 20 admissible, but also third-party statements, and there is tons 21 of case law on this, Your Honor, which we cited in our brief, 22 that third-party statements do come in to make clear the 23 context of the defendant's statements, to shed light on the 24 defendant's own mental state, to understand the effect of 25 those statements on the listener, as well as the defendant.

10 Proceedings They also come in because they are also uncharged conduct. 1 2 So with respect to the Mr. Tolendini conversation, 3 Mr. Caroleo actually does distribute child pornography in that 4 chat. So, to be clear, that is actually uncharged conduct. 5 So under 404(b), this proves motive, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or lack of 6 7 accident. So that quite clearly falls within the parameters 8 of 404(b)(2). 9 THE COURT: Be careful. You are going to have to 10 alert the Court to this, Ms. Argo as we go along. I agree 11 with all of that, and keeping a road map for what purpose 12 something is coming in. If it is 404(b), it is coming in with 13 a cautionary instruction that it wouldn't have otherwise. 14 MS. ARGO: Of course, Your Honor. We can certainly provide that additional sort of cuing to the Court. 15 16 THE COURT: Once we have given the instruction, we 17 can say that is for that purpose. 18 MS. ARGO: Absolutely, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And there is going to be an agent who is 20 going to describe, I assume, how everything was extracted? 21 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. There is going to be a 22 forensic examiner from the FBI who is going to go through 23 that. 24 THE COURT: And that gets connected up. And as I

understand it from something I listened to yesterday, there is

11 Proceedings no challenge by the defense that these things came off a 1 2 device that was owned and controlled by Mr. Caroleo. 3 MS. ARGO: Certainly the Government would state that 4 with respect to the one phone that was seized from his person, 5 we believe that there's going to be an agreement to that effect so we don't have to get into the circumstances of the 6 7 arrest. 8 THE COURT: And that is where these text messages 9 come from? MS. ARGO: Some of them came from that and then some 10 came from devices that were seized within the defendant's 11 12 home, which brings us to another portion of what we would like 13 to sort of flag for Your Honor and defense counsel, which is that the defendant did make statements in non-custodial 14 15 interview in which he claimed ownership of the tablet, the 16 fact that he owned a Droid and an HTC phone. He also 17 identified his own phone number and made numerous admissions 18 about those devices actually being his and that the user name 19 on Kik, Nimfeater belonged to him. So to the extent those are 20 obviously his admissions, just as the letter that he submitted 21 to the Court in which he waived his attorney-client 22 privilege --23 THE COURT: We will get to that later. Let's keep 24 them all separate. 25 MS. ARGO: All right.

12 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: Those statements were made to NYPD? 2 MS. WASHINGTON: To FBI. 3 THE COURT: To FBI agents. 4 MS. WASHINGTON: Yes. 5 MS. ARGO: We would only be seeking to admit those limited portions of the statement. There are obviously some 6 7 false exculpatories and other self-serving statements that are 8 made throughout that. It is a quite lengthy interview. 9 would only be seeking to show the ownership of the devices. 10 MS. SELTZER: We are not stipulating to that, Your We are only stipulating to the device -- the one phone 11 12 that was seized by the Manhattan DA. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Argo has cleared that up. They are 14 going to lay the foundation through Mr. Caroleo's statement to 15 the FBI as to those devices. 16 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: As I understand it. 18 MS. SELTZER: There are two more Kik chats that are 19 referenced 402-0 and 402-P in the exhibit list. I have not 20 had an opportunity to review those yet. 21 THE COURT: What are they, Ms. Argo? MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 402-0 consists of one 22 23 It is simply I think a three-message chat between the 24 defendant and an individual identified as KateS..001 in which 25 he provides his name, his phone number, where he is located in

13 Proceedings 1 a message to this individual. So it is simply showing 2 attribution, ownership, the fact that it is, in fact, Mr. 3 Caroleo who is using these devices or this particular device. 4 With respect to 402-P, that chat is with another So that is actually uncharged conduct in which he is 5 6 soliciting images from this individual and then proceeds to 7 threaten this individual if she does not provide the pictures 8 Your Honor, it is a little bit lengthy and we are 9 happy to condense that down to its sum and substance, if you will. 10 11 THE COURT: Is that person testifying at the trial? 12 MS. ARGO: No, she will not be testifying at the 13 However, again under 404(b), this would be uncharged 14 conduct and this is something that would show that there is a 15 lack of mistake, that this is intentional, that this is not an 16 accident, that this is the modus operandi of the defendant. 17 THE COURT: What is going to be the offer of proof 18 on that? The agent? 19 MS. ARGO: It would come in because it's in the 20 devices that we will, I believe, lay the foundation to show 21 that it belongs to the defendant and that this is a 22 conversation that the defendant was having with another 23 individual that an agent has, in fact, identified as a minor. 24 THE COURT: That is the key piece.

MDL RPR CRR CSR

MS. ARGO:

Yes.

before the jury that that is the case?

involved.

Proceedings

THE COURT: What is the evidence that is coming

MS. WASHINGTON: The agent will testify, based on her training and experience, that essentially from looking at pictures and images of the chest area of the child, the pubic area of the child indicate that it is, in fact, a minor

THE COURT: So there is a picture attached to that?

MS. WASHINGTON: There are pictures and this is also an individual that the FBI agent has interviewed and met.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, I don't mean to get technical, but how is somebody an expert in recognizing the pubic area and chest area of a minor? We are already getting started with this stuff.

THE COURT: They have to be prepared to lay a foundation for that. If you want to voir dire them on how they know that. If they seem to be satisfied at the end of your voir dire that there will be sufficient evidence in the record to satisfy me that there is a basis for their conclusion that that is within their competence. I think the reality here is going to be, in addition to that, I'm gathering, I think it was Ms. Washington who said, that the agent who is testifying has actually seen the child I assume fully clothed.

MS. WASHINGTON: Yes. That's correct.

MDL RPR CRR CSR

Proceedings

THE COURT: And it is based on that observation, which law enforcement officers are trained to do, pegs the person as being someone under the age of 18, which doesn't really require great expertise, Ms. Seltzer. Based on what I am hearing, I assume they are going to be able to lay that foundation assuming the agent testifies the way it has been described.

MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor, that would be what the Government intends to do.

THE COURT: It is going to be important, and get them as quickly as you can to Ms. Seltzer and to us, we have jury selection on Monday, to get us your proposed cutdown exhibits and I have a nifty red pen.

MS. ARGO: Absolutely, Your Honor, we will most certainly do that.

THE COURT: I mean, I can't get this Ben text thing out of my mind. It was just over and over and over. It was the same conversation.

MS. WASHINGTON: I can say on the record exactly what the Government intends to show and we can cut it down to that portion, which is that at one point the defendant sends a video of one of the Jane Does to this Ben Brink individual. So that is a limited portion that we would like to show. And then the second piece is --

THE COURT: There was some -- look, listening to it,

MDL RPR CRR CSR

16 Proceedings there were some that are far more probative than others. 1 2 MS. WASHINGTON: Yes. 3 THE COURT: There is no question about that. I 4 assume those are the ones you will focus on. 5 MS. WASHINGTON: That is correct, Your Honor. And then there is only one other chat that we will probably 6 7 include. So we can cull that down. 8 THE COURT: Yes, because it is just not going to 9 happen. MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor, we can do that. 10 THE COURT: Is there any other point that you have 11 12 on that, Ms. Seltzer? I don't want to cut you off. 13 MS. SELTZER: No, only the arguments that were made 14 in my memo. 15 THE COURT: Let's go back, Ms. Argo. There is another classification, too, is there not, of texts to 16 17 victims? 18 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: The victims are testifying or not? MS. ARGO: Yes. The victims will be -- there are 20 21 three victims who are named in the indictment and who will be 22 here present testifying, yes, Your Honor. And then there will 23 be some of these chats that will be gone through with the 24 forensic examiner who actually did the extraction report or 25 examined or peer reviewed the phone report and we will go

Proceedings 17 through those chats. 1 2 THE COURT: Obviously the same restriction. 3 couple of the ones that we went over didn't seem anywhere near 4 as long as the one, but there were some that had those multi-date kind of things, which are, to me, a tip off that 5 6 it's too long. MS. ARGO: Understood, Your Honor. We can 7 8 definitely trim those down. 9 THE COURT: So you understand. That is good. 10 MS. ARGO: We do. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And you have no points with respect to 12 that, Ms. Seltzer? 13 MS. SELTZER: Nothing further, no. 14 THE COURT: Keep going then, Ms. Argo. I know there were other applications. 15 16 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. So, with respect to what the defendant filed on the docket, that was back in 17 18 September, I believe, the defendant filed numerous 19 conversations between himself and his attorneys discussing 20 details of the case. In one such e-mail, which is page 4 of 21 11 in document 72 filed on the public docket, Mr. Caroleo 22 makes a statement that I had 4,000 pictures in my phone, 99.5 23 percent of them were architecture sites, family and pets, less 24 than one percent were of the victims. That portion of the 25 sentence we believe should come in as an admission by the

Proceedings

defendant.

Finally, near the end of that particular e-mail, Mr. Caroleo makes a statement "I am guilty of having pictures of adolescent children and sexting." I want to say those two statements are admissions of the defendant and because Mr. Caroleo purposefully waived the privilege between an attorney and his client -- I'm sorry, the attorney and her client, those particular admissions should come in and none of the other exculpatory statements and self-serving statements should come in. I should add -- I'm sorry, Your Honor -- just as with his non-custodial interview as well.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, again, I addressed that in my memo. I think that it is clear that this is a man who is not schooled and certainly did not understand the implications of filing the letter that he filed and the communication that he had had with me and certainly didn't intentionally waive his attorney-client privilege. It just so flies in the face of that privilege. I understand the Government's argument. It was not done knowingly. It was not done knowingly and intentionally.

THE COURT: I will be honest, I was unaware that those letters were actually put on the public file by the clerk, but I am advised that is indeed the normal practice in this electronic age. In the old days, a letter addressed to a judge would have been put in the mailbox of the judge and that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

19

would have been the only place it would have been disseminated to.

I am going to give you both a chance to write a little bit more and if you can get something in by the close of business tomorrow. It is a very troubling issue. There is no question that the two excerpts that Ms. Argo has given us are classic admissions and would be admissible clearly.

We are obviously dealing with a pro se who is not experienced in the law and the like. The excerpts that the Government wishes to use really had nothing to do with the purpose that Mr. Caroleo had in sending the letter in the first place. He was referencing I guess his efforts to continue to try to make a point about how he got remanded on the GPS coordinates, trying to argue that counsel, Ms. Seltzer and her predecessors, for that matter, would not take up the cudgels to argue that this was an example of the Government being vindictive or manipulative and setting him up. of the matter is that pretrial services doesn't even work for the Government; they work for the Court, number one, and the fact that he was remanded had nothing to do with the coordinates, it had to do with his admission in open court, that he was at the location that he was at. It did not have to be verified by the GPS coordinates because he admitted where he was. That is why he got remanded.

All of that being said, this aspect of what was set

MDL

RPR

CRR

CSR

Proceedings

forth in the e-mails that were attached to show the other point, there is no connection whatsoever. That is not what he intended to show when he sent the letter to me to make the other point.

I do have some of the concerns that Ms. Seltzer has articulated, which are serious. There is an argument under 504(b) of the Federal Rules of Evidence which would suggest that that inadvertence excuses what otherwise would have been a clear waiver of privilege, because there is no question that he attached the e-mails containing the privilege information. There is no question that he then mailed the letter to someone other than his lawyer, whether it is me or the clerk, it doesn't matter, that is a waiver, whether that is done intentionally, it is a waiver of privilege. 504(b) deals with inadvertence.

And 504(d) is essentially a notwithstanding subsection that says the totality of the circumstances, perhaps the conduct that would otherwise be a clear waiver should be disregarded and the privileged information, very much as we do on the civil side and even on the criminal side when we get these terabytes of documents to facilitate a more rapid production of electronically maintained documents, to allow privileged documents to be clawed back. So you may write simultaneously, if you care to, and the Court will evaluate its position, if you want to give us something by

	Proceedings 21
1	close of business tomorrow as to whether or not under 504(b)
2	this is an inadvertence that excuses the waiver. And perhaps,
3	more significantly, depending on the answer to that, whether
4	under 504(d) these are circumstances in which the Court should
5	exercise its power to excuse the waiver. So we look forward
6	to your writings with respect to that issue. File it on the
7	docket by close of business tomorrow.
8	MS. SELTZER: Thank you, Judge.
9	MS. ARGO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	MS. SELTZER: It will be done.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Argo, I think there is still more on
12	your agenda.
13	MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. I believe the other
14	statements that we referenced beyond the statements within the
15	publicly filed document were statements that he made to FBI
16	agents in a non-custodial interview, we would only be seeking
17	to introduce the portions that attribute his ownership of his
18	devices and the ownership of his Kik username and that is
19	truly all that the Government is seeking to admit.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any view on that, Ms.
21	Seltzer?
22	MS. SELTZER: No, Judge.
23	THE COURT: You are a delight to work with, Ms.
24	Seltzer, because you are forthright. You don't have a
25	legitimate objection and you didn't make one. The Government

22 Proceedings is right and she has permission to do exactly what she is 1 2 requesting to do. 3 MS. ARGO: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 Lastly, I think the only thing left on the 5 Government's motion --6 THE COURT: There is one thing I want to raise. Ιn 7 our scurrying around, more precisely Jonathan's scurrying 8 around, it came up in a case recently, a child pornography 9 case recently before Judge Block where the parties agreed 10 that, notwithstanding any of the other issues in the case, 11 that with respect to the specific images that were going to be 12 used in the case, there was a stipulation that indeed those 13 images qualified as child pornography without having to 14 display the images to the jury. 15 Is there any sense that the parties here would 16 subscribe to the same stipulation? 17 MS. WASHINGTON: Your Honor, the Government is not 18 prepared to stipulate in this particular case in part because 19 there are the sexual exploitation of minor charges where there 20 are Jane Does who are going to be testifying who are going to 21 need to identify themselves as the minor in those videos. 22 this circumstance we don't think it would be appropriate. 23 MS. ARGO: In addition, Your Honor, we went ahead 24 and took the lead in introducing some limiting factors around

introducing this particular child pornography. We have

	Proceedings 23
1	limited the images very strictly to just be within the
2	indictment and provide context and only show them for a few
3	seconds.
4	THE COURT: I was wondering whether we could pursue
5	it or not. The sexual exploitation counts were a stumbling
6	block. I was hoping if everybody was interested in doing
7	that, you all could come up with a workaround.
8	MS. ARGO: Yes.
9	THE COURT: That is where we sort of stumbled
10	yesterday as well in our thinking process. I thought I would
11	throw it out and see if the parties were interested.
12	Apparently not.
13	MS. ARGO: We understand, Your Honor. We feel we
14	have to meet our burden.
15	THE COURT: It is an unpleasant task that the jurors
16	will have to perform. If we can spare them at least that
17	aspect, I was hoping.
18	MS. ARGO: Understood.
19	THE COURT: That is not to be.
20	I think there is still even more, is there not?
21	Because that tied in to really is there more because I believe
22	the parties have agreed on a protocol of how these images will
23	be handled.
24	MS. ARGO: Yes.
25	THE COURT: We have not discussed that because

24 Proceedings everybody is on the same page with respect to that. 1 2 MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MS. SELTZER: That's correct. 4 MS. ARGO: We have I think two remaining issues. Is 5 it three? Sorry. Right. So, with respect to specific 6 instances of conduct if the defendant chooses to testify at 7 trial. There are several instances within the devices that 8 were seized that are instances of lies and deceit that are 9 very specifically attributable to the defendant and 10 demonstrate his character for untruthfulness. The Government 11 would respectfully request that it be permitted to inquire 12 upon cross-examination regarding those specific instances. 13 That would include attempting to falsify a urinalysis screen 14 with his employer, the use of an opioid substance for which he 15 did not have a prescription, and pretending to be a 19- or 16 17-year-old in certain instances. Those would all be examples 17 of instances of untruthfulness for which we would seek to 18 cross-examine the defendant if he takes the stand. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Seltzer. 20 MS. SELTZER: Well, as I stated again in my letter, 21 I don't anticipate that my client will testify. I think he 22 understands his rights. He has been advised of his rights and 23 he has been advised of the charge that the Court will give the 24 jury if he does not testify. 25 THE COURT: I will.

Proceedings 25

MS. SELTZER: Our present intention is that he will not testify.

THE COURT: All right. So we will keep that on the back burner for now, Ms. Argo, and we will address that as we get closer.

Mr. Caroleo, to give you a preview, at some point, when it is the defense case, I am going to ask you personally whether it is your intent to testify or not. Until that time comes, you can continue to work with counsel and make your mind up.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We are not locking you in. What Ms.

Seltzer said to the Court now does not lock you in to any decision one way or the other. That is going to be up to you when the time comes.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

MS. WASHINGTON: Two final things. The last thing in our motion that was filed on Friday, November 1st is with respect to the evidence related to the seizure of the phone, specifically seized from the phone from his person in connection with the assault arrest. I think the parties have agreed to a stipulation that will cover this issue so we don't need to bring in anyone from the NYPD to testify even in a limited fashion. I think that is taken care of.

THE COURT: That is fine. That makes sense.

Proceedings 26 MS. SELTZER: That's correct. 1 2 MS. WASHINGTON: And the last issue is with respect 3 to a Giglio motion that the Government filed yesterday. 4 standard Giglio disclosures regarding our Jane Does, 5 specifically with respect to travel expenses, which the Government is not seeking to limit any cross-examination about 6 7 that, that goes to bias, and Ms. Seltzer would be well within 8 her right to cross examine about that, but there is certain 9 information about Jane Doe that we have provided that we 10 believe just -- it does not going to untruthfulness or 11 truthfulness, it would be basically harassment if 12 cross-examination --13 MS. SELTZER: Is Jane Doe one of the victims? 14 MS. WASHINGTON: Yes, Jane Doe Two. So there are 15 three Jane Does. 16 MS. SELTZER: Because we have been referring to 17 these people as victim one, victim two, victim three, and then 18 we have first names. I want to make sure I'm on the same 19 page. 20 MS. ARGO: The only reference is Jane Doe One 21 through Three in the indictment, and then in our 3500 22 materials and in the exhibits, they are referenced by their 23 first names. So those are the only two types of ways we have 24 been referring to them. 25 MS. SELTZER: Okay.

	Proceedings 27
1	THE COURT: Do you have any problems with that?
2	MS. SELTZER: No, I didn't want to see a new Jane
3	Doe appear on the horizon.
4	THE COURT: That is resolved by agreement.
5	Anything else on the Government side?
6	MS. ARGO: I believe that is it, Your Honor. Thank
7	you.
8	THE COURT: Ms. Seltzer, do you have anything that
9	you
10	MS. SELTZER: Just some housekeeping issues.
11	THE COURT: Yes, please.
12	MS. SELTZER: I would like to request that the Court
13	permit us to have daily copy. We have spoken to the court
14	reporter and I think the understanding would be that we would
15	split the cost with the Government and the CJA.
16	THE COURT: That is your understanding as well Ms.
17	Argo?
18	MS. ARGO: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: I think it is certainly appropriate and
20	the Court will order it.
21	MS. SELTZER: My only other request is to know that
22	the schedule. If we are picking a jury on Tuesday, is it Your
23	Honor's intention to begin on Wednesday or if it takes a
24	shorter time to pick a jury, is it Your Honor's intention to
25	start sometime on Tuesday?

Proceedings 28

THE COURT: I think we would start on a fresh day. I would think that this is going to be a fairly lengthy voir dire, unless you all think I am wrong.

MS. WASHINGTON: We agree.

THE COURT: In fact, we have ordered, in consultation with some of the people whose hair is even grayer than mine, if that's possible, we have ordered an extra allotment of jurors. Everyone thinks it will be a long day on Tuesday for jury selection.

MS. SELTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: This way we can take one worry off the worry plate and we will start on Wednesday as a fresh day.

MS. SELTZER: I have been advised that Your Honor does not sit on Fridays; is that correct?

THE COURT: Well, it gets a little squirrelly as we get towards the end of the year. I am not going to say that to anybody. Depending on the pace of the trial, it may very well be that if we can rearrange my other calendar and have a half a day on Friday. So no one should plan on Friday being off. The only days that anybody should plan on not being here are on Thanksgiving Day and the day after. I have already had the bad reputation of bringing in a jury on Columbus day. I don't want to add to my bad reputation to bring somebody in on a Friday after Thanksgiving as well.

Trials are what they are. We will work it as long

MDL RPR CRR CSR

if the weather forecast is correct, maybe we will find out if

that includes tomorrow, people may have weather issues. I

24

	Proceedings 30
1	guess we just have to keep our feet moving and respond as we
2	need to. That is the plan.
3	Now that the Government is getting closer to the
4	beginning of trial, what is the Government's current trial
5	time estimate?
6	MS. WASHINGTON: We estimate about three to four
7	days.
8	THE COURT: Days, okay. I thought you were going to
9	say weeks.
10	We will sort of gauge it, Ms. Seltzer, on those
11	first few days, and to the extent we can eliminate Fridays out
12	of our mind, that is fine. But if we can't, we can't.
13	MS. SELTZER: No problem. I was just anxious to
14	know.
15	THE COURT: And somebody might mention I don't
16	know if we mentioned it to Judge Scanlon or not, but somebody
17	might mention to Judge Scanlon, and William you can pass it
18	along, counsel can double-check on selection day, that not to
19	promise the jurors that they are off on Friday.
20	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I already did.
21	THE COURT: Did you handle that already?
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Just now.
23	THE COURT: William is a mind reader.
24	Again, I think this time of year is a little we
25	have another case that is marked ready subject to this thing,

Proceedings 31 1 so we don't want to start crowding everybody. In fact, I 2 think they select before we finish. 3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I believe so. 4 THE COURT: I think they select before we finish. It wouldn't be the first time we have had two juries at one 5 6 time. It has been awhile. 7 Do we have anything else? 8 MS. WASHINGTON: Just a couple of other housekeeping 9 matters. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. WASHINGTON: With respect to movement about the 12 courtroom, would you prefer we ask for permission before we 13 approach a witness or do we have a standing permission? 14 THE COURT: You can approach the witness. From a trial strategy, I think people lapse into their normal 15 16 I think you all want to do the same thing, rather routine. 17 than stand out. If Ms. Seltzer lapses into the way we were 18 taught when we were kids, and you don't do it, maybe somebody 19 on the jury sees it. I think people who have been before me 20 know I sort of let lawyers try their cases, don't save them 21 and don't try the case for them. So think like that. 22 MS. WASHINGTON: All right. Will do. And then we wanted to find a time to test the 23 24 courtroom equipment. William has advised. 25 THE COURT: William, do you know where Judge Scanlon

```
Proceedings
                                                                  32
    is selecting?
1
2
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: She will be selecting here.
 3
              THE COURT: So you will be here on the day before
 4
    trial.
            It will give you a perfect opportunity to test out the
    equipment. You will be here for jury selection.
5
6
              MS. ARGO:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
7
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
8
              MS. SELTZER: No, Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: Give me my memorandum by tomorrow.
              MS. SELTZER: Will do, Your Honor.
10
11
              MS. ARGO:
                         No problem.
12
              THE COURT: Good luck to both sides. We will see
13
    you on Wednesday. Judge Scanlon will see you on Tuesday.
              MS. ARGO: Thank you.
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: You're welcome, Mr. Caroleo.
17
               (Matter concluded.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MDL RPR CRR CSR